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1 Introduction

Mattole (English pronunciation /ˈmætəl/; pronounced "mætoʊl") belongs to the Athabaskan language family, which is part of the Na-Dené phylum. This phylum spreads over part of the west of the North-American continent, with three separate regions of speakers: West Canada, North California and Arizona and New Mexico. Mattole was spoken in North-West California, near the mouth of the Mattole river [lit.ref. 2]. By the time it was recorded [lit.ref. 1] (1930), there were only one or two speakers left and the Encyclopedia Britannica of 1973 marks it as extinct. Other prominent Athabaskan languages are Chipewyan in Canada and Navaho and the Apache languages in Arizona and New Mexico. Mattole does not differ more from Navaho than the average British country-side dialect from Standard English; if it were not for the two thousand kilometers that separate them, they might well be considered dialects of the same language. All in all, Mattole is somewhat simpler than Navaho. If recent research is correct, the Na-Dené languages are remotely related to Chinese and arrived in America somewhere around 7000 BC.

Although the structure of the Athabaskan languages strikes the European as weird, upon closer look we find that many of its seemingly unusual features also occur, for example, in German or French (though to a lesser extent in English). The phonetics are very different.

2 Phonetic features

There are four vowels, a, e, i and o, each short and long,\(^1\) pronounced as in Italian and a short vowel ı (between the i in English will and the u in English but). The ı is a phonetical variant of the i, i.e. there are no opposition pairs ı/i. No word can start with a vowel, and if it ends in a vowel, the vowel is long. The oo at the end of a word is often pronounced ow.

There are 23 consonants, many of which sound composite to the western ear; they are described in the table on the next page. All of these are single phonemes and should be considered single letters. The forms separated by / are variants of the same phoneme, the exact form depending on the circumstances. The gutturals g, G and x turn into gw, Gw and xw before or after the vowel o and the w is pronounced together with the guttural rather than after it. The nasal n is pronounced and written ng before g, k, x and ’ (the glottal stop) and their variants. The ts turns into s in prefixes. The stand-alone w is a variant of the unstressed o at the end of a word, or a glide between vowels, one of which is an o. And the x used in the aspiration of t and k has a more guttural quality than the stand-alone x or the x used in the aspiration of the tc.

Unlike English and the other European languages, which divide consonants in voiced (b, d, g, v, z) and voiceless (p, t, k, f, s), Mattole and the other Athabaskan languages divide them in plain, aspirated and glottalized. A consonant is aspirated by pronouncing an h at the same time or slightly after it; an example from English is the t in talk, which is aspirated versus the t in stalk, which is not. Aspiration in Mattole is so strong that it is rather a x (as in Scottish loch) than an h that marks it. A consonant is glottalized by pronouncing a glottal stop at the same time or slightly after it; there are no examples in the European languages, though the British substandard pronunciation of sitting as si’n has some of the flavour. The following table summarizes the three main lines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>plain</th>
<th>aspirated</th>
<th>glottalized</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dental</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>tx</td>
<td>t’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>palatal</td>
<td>dj</td>
<td>tcx</td>
<td>t’c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>velar</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>kx</td>
<td>k’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\)In lit. ref. [1] long vowels are indicated by a following raised dot (e.g. a·), but in this text long vowels are shown as double vowels (e.g. aa), for clarity and in conformance with present-day Navaho spelling.
The consonants

reconstructed Mattole approximate description

Athabaskan

' glottal stop, as in German Theater
h h as in English, also at the end of a syllable
y y as y in English yes
w w as w in English wall (see below)
c x/xw as ch in German Bach
b b/m between b and p in English
d d between d and t in English
t tx heavily aspirated t
t' t' glottalized t
n n/ng as in English
g/y g/gw/y/gw g between g and k in English, y as the g in Dutch geen
k/x kx heavily aspirated k
k' k' glottalized k
l c broad s
s/ts/z ts/s sharp s; ts almost as s
t's t's glottalized ts
ky' t'sy the above followed by y
gy/dj dj between the J in English John and the ch in English church
tc tcx as dsch in Dutch boodschap
t'c t'c/tc t' or t followed by c
ky tc as dch in German Mädchen
l l as in English
ți/dl/tl ți voiceless l, as the ll in Welsh Llangollen
t'ți t'ți t' followed by ți

The plain consonants d, dj, and g are marked by the absence of aspiration and glottalization; they could as well be written t, tc and k. The aspirated and glottalized consonants can occur only as the first consonant of a root.

The stand-alone glottal stop 't, which is a separate consonant, differs from the 't' in glottalized stops like t'p, where it indicates a modification to the original stop, and should probably be written ?, as it is in some Algonkian languages. Here both are represented by ', conforming to the literature reference [1] and to standard Navaho spelling.

Unlike the majority of the Athabaskan languages, Mattole has no tones. Stress is generally on the last syllable, but may fall on the one but last syllable, especially in third person verb forms and in some nouns.

3 Lexical features

The centerpiece of the Mattole vocabulary is the verb form, which includes indications for subject, object, aspect and adverbial modification, in addition to the stem of the verb. Adjectives are part of the verbal system, with special prefixes to derive adjectives from verbs; example:

- tcxe'n = to be bad,
which yields

nitcxe'n = bad.

There are only a hundred or so real nouns, all concerned with kinship relations, body parts, animals and some common objects, like t'ílement = 'elk-horn spear used to spear sea lions'. All other nouns are constructed (and can be constructed on the spot) from verbs. An example is

biit'iyiiltxadj = pencil;
the word is composed of

biit'iyi-l-txadj = with it - it - thing (noun indicator) - passive - scratch/write
= a thing-with-which-it-is-written,
i.e., a pencil. The form

biit = with it
is again composed of two elements:
bi- = of it and -t = with.

Nouns thus constructed are called thematic.

Mattole has no gender, not even in the personal pronouns; that is, there is no difference between he, she or it. Pronouns are not distinguished in the three classes singular and plural the way the European languages do. The following table shows the various pronouns, as subjects, objects and possessors, corresponding, for example, to English I, me and my.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Listener</th>
<th>Known absent person/thing</th>
<th>Unknown absent person</th>
<th>Group of the speaker</th>
<th>Some listeners</th>
<th>Addressed crowd</th>
<th>All unknown absent people</th>
<th>Self</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>English equivalent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>c/iic</td>
<td>n/ni</td>
<td>'i/yi/</td>
<td>dji/’dji</td>
<td>di</td>
<td>oh</td>
<td>oyah</td>
<td>ya/yaa</td>
<td>’adi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Object</td>
<td>ci</td>
<td>ni</td>
<td>bi</td>
<td>’i/y</td>
<td>noh</td>
<td>noh</td>
<td>noh</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>’a/’aadi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possessor</td>
<td>ci/’ic</td>
<td>ni</td>
<td>you</td>
<td>gwo</td>
<td>noh</td>
<td>noh</td>
<td>noh</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>..self/..selves</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The dashes (–) in the above table indicate cases that are signalled by the absence of a particle. The empty entry (self as a subject) of course does not exist.

4 Verbs

A verb form consists of a number of prefixes, a verb stem, and possibly one of two suffixes. The verb itself has often a rather broad meaning, for example

- ’aan = to handle a round object.

Its meaning is then narrowed down by some of the prefixes, which may specify meanings like ‘upwards’ (ni-), to give

ni-...-’aan = to pick up a round object;

or ‘into pieces’ (djiya-) giving

djiya-...-’aan = to smash a round object.

These prefixes correspond closely to the German prefixes auf- = upwards and zer- = destroying. Prefix-verb combinations like

ni-...-’aan

djiya-...-’aan

which together have a meaning, are called verb bases.

The Mattole verb structure can be compared reasonably closely to that of the German compound past participle, for example

aufgehaben = picked up,

which has the structure

auf-ge-ha-ben = upwards (prefix) - past tense (prefix) - lift (the stem) - past participle (suffix).

Note that the particles in the verb can be used independently, not even the verb stem. When pronounced, the particles in Mattole influence each other and are glued together, like in French:

il t’a vu = he has seen you

has the particles

il-ten-avë = he - you - third person singular - see (past stem),

and is pronounced itavë. There are some tens of rules for contracting verb forms in Mattole. Some are simple; for example, ni reduces to i. Some are more complex: citç reduces to cx:

né’icxoos = I pick it up a fabric

from

ni-’i-c-t-ccoos = upwards - it - I - 1-class - handle a fabric.

The examples below show more of these effects.

The elements of a verb form appear in a fixed order:
general prefixes,
an object prefix,
additional object prefixes,
moment-aspect prefixes,
destination-aspect prefixes,
time-aspect prefixes,
a subject prefix*,
a verb classifier*,
the verb stem*, and
possibly a suffix.

The elements marked with * are obligatory. If they are the only ones present, a dummy prefix 'i-
precedes them.

**General prefixes** These modify and narrow down the meaning of the verb stem. The prefix may
describe the way an action is performed, e.g.

\[ \text{dahdi-} = \text{in a stealthy way,} \]
as in

\[ \text{dahdiyi}ii\text{á\'{a}án} = I \text{stole it (a round object)} \]
from

\[ \text{dahdi-}\text{-y}i\text{-i}--\text{'aan} = \text{stealthily - result aspect - I - zero-class - handle a round object}. \]

Or the prefix may say something about the place or direction, e.g.

\[ \text{ni-} = \text{upwards,} \]
as in

\[ \text{ne'i}ntxiix = \text{you pick it up (a long object)} \]
from

\[ \text{ni-'i-n--txiix} = \text{upwards - it - you - zero-class - handle a long object.} \]

There are about fifty different general prefixes.

Some particles have no clear meaning. An example is the prefix

\[ \text{guo-} \]
which occurs, for example, in the composite verb

\[ \text{guo-ni-...-yee} = \text{to win} \]
from

\[ \text{-yee} = \text{to eat up.} \]
This is comparable to a German prefix like \text{er-}, which does not do anything to explain the relation-
ship between

\[ \text{zählen = to count} \]

and

\[ \text{erzählen = to tell.} \]

Such prefixes are translated here as 'some prefix'.

Some prefixes have a different form if the subject is absent (i.e. third person):

\[ \text{ginicyéex} = I \text{talk} \]
from

\[ \text{gini-c--yeex} = \text{some prefix - I - zero-class - talk} \]

versus

\[ \text{kxéeneex} = \text{he talks} \]
from

\[ \text{kxéeni--yeex} = \text{same prefix for third person - he - zero-class - talk.} \]

**An object prefix** See the table of pronouns. The subject prefixes for the unknown persons (singular
and plural) also appear in this position.
**Additional object prefixes**  A dozen or so prefixes take this position; they generally refer to the object, as if they were suffixes to it, which in fact they may be. Example:

\[-o- = \text{towards},\]

as in

\[\text{nőst’sih} = \text{I am acquainted with you}\]

from

\[\text{ni-o-c-t-t’sih} = \text{you - towards - I - l-class - know}\]

(with ‘I know towards you’ meaning ‘I am acquainted with you’). Actually, if such a prefix occurs, the preceding position (the object) has the possessive form of the pronoun rather than the object form:

\[\text{biya’iyinîtsîl} = \text{he has thrown it right through it}\]

from

\[\text{bi-ya-’i-yn-î-t-tsîl} = \text{its - through - it - result aspect - he/she/it - l-class - throw.}\]

**Moment-aspect prefixes**  They express whether the action or situation starts (di/dee), stops (ni/nee) or is momentaneous (ni).

**Destination-aspect prefixes**  Only two prefixes can have this position:

\[\text{si/see} = \text{to death}\]

and

\[\text{di} = \text{into the fire.}\]

**Time-aspect prefixes**  These express whether the action or situation lasts on (si), is going on all the time or is concerned with the result (yî), is instantaneous (ni), occurs in the future (diyî), or involves a permission (oo).

**A subject prefix**  See the table of pronouns.

**A verb classifier**  There are two classes of verbs, the zero-class and the l-class. If both classes exist for a given verbal root, generally the l-class is the causative of the zero-class. An example is the root

\[\text{-tsih} = \text{to become},\]

which yields:

\[\text{djîntsîh} = \text{you wake up}\]

from

\[\text{dji-n--tsih} = \text{awake - you - zero-class - become},\]

versus

\[\text{djîtsîh} = \text{you wake him up}\]

from

\[\text{dji--n-t-tsîh} = \text{awake - him - you - l-class - become = you cause him to become awake}.\]

Beyond that, the class has to be learned with each verb, which is not really a problem, since the class shows up on almost very usage of the verb. The classifier for verbs of the zero-class is the absent particle, that for the l-class is 1. Both classes have a different form of the classifier if the action is not done by the subject, but for or to the subject, the medial and passive forms. The zero-class has di and the l-class has l, the latter being a contraction of idi. An example is the active

\[\text{djiyaasiiit’îiid} = \text{I smashed it to pieces}\]

from

\[\text{djiya-si-ii--t’îiid} = \text{to pieces - lasting aspect - I - zero-class - smash},\]

versus the passive

\[\text{djiyaasîsidît’îiid} = \text{I am smashed to pieces}\]

from

\[\text{djiya-si-c-di-t’îiid} = \text{to pieces - lasting aspect - I - di-class - smash.}\]

It is amusing to see that the medial form of
-yiix = to whistle (l-class),
is
-yiix = to rest (l-class),
i.e., to whistle to oneself.
Many verbs occur in one of the classes only. For example, verbs denoting a state rather than an action often occur in the di-class only: -di-biin = to be sharp.

The Mattole verb class system is parallel to but simpler than the Hebrew binyanim, in which also a root produces many different stems. The Mattole zero-class corresponds roughly to the Hebrew qal, the di-class to the nif'al, the l-class to the hif'il and the l-class to the hitpa'el. Note that the characterizing particle of the Mattole di-class di- and the characterizing particle of the Hebrew nif'al ni- both mean ‘we’ in the corresponding language. This is a phenomenon found in many otherwise unrelated languages.

The verb stem There are about 300 verb roots in total. This may seem to be very little, but since each root can be combined with any number of prefixes, of which there are more than fifty, it is easy to make thousands of combinations, enough to satisfy all semantic needs. A good example of such a semantic construction is supplied by the root

-gol = to scrape,
which when combined with the prefix
nehe- = back into shape,
yields the verb base
nehe-...-gol = to shave.

All roots have the form consonant-vowel-consonant or consonant-vowel; in the first form there may be an additional glottal stop before the final consonant:
-kxa't = to sew;
in the second form the vowel is always long:
-tcxii = to make.
The initial consonant is special in that it is the only position in the language in which the aspirated and glottalized consonants can occur (-txah = to smoothe and -t’ah = to fly).

The root of a verb has different forms for the different tenses:
-tcxii = make present
-tcxii’n = made past
-tcxiiil = will make future
-tcx’ = may make optative

This is comparable to English verb paradigms like to do - did - done. The Mattole verb root normally has four forms, called the stems, designating present, past, future and outside of time. While the English stems are generally made by varying the vowel in it, Mattole stems are often made by varying the final consonant. For the past, the final consonant is often assimilated to an -n or a -d, for the future to an -l, and for the optative to a -‘, as in the above example. But many other phenomena are found, including vowel changes, as in the optative form above. Four verbs have two additional stems, one for the continuous present and one for the continuous past. The verbs stems are displayed in the following format:

present (continuous present) past (continuous past) future optative = meaning

The continuous forms, if applicable, are shown between parentheses.

Each of the four stems (six if one counts the continuous stems) can exist in a heavy and a light form. The heavy form ends in a voiced consonant, the light form ends in the corresponding voiceless one; given the heavy form, one can derive the light form. Remarkably, the ng is used as the light form of the n. The choice between heavy and light forms depends on the surrounding prefix and suffix. Many verbs just have a single form for each of the stems, which then may be heavy or light. An example is:

-gong’ -goo’n -gool -gong’ = to put out the fire (l-class)

This might suggest that the ng rather represents a nasalization of the preceding vowel than a real consonant; this would also explain why forms like -gong’ can seemingly end in two consonants, ng and ’.
in which the first and fourth stems are light and the second and third are heavy.

There is no verb in which all 12 $ (6 \times 2) $ stem forms are different; the maximum found is nine, for example:

\[-'aax \quad -'aa \quad -'ang \quad -'a' \quad -'aa\hat{t} \quad -'aal \quad -'aal \quad -'a' \quad = \text{to handle a round object (zero-class)}\]

in which the light forms are shown on top.

There are about 65 verbs that have only one form for all stems:

\[-yeex \quad -yeex \quad -yeex \quad -yeex \quad = \text{to talk (zero-class)}\]

Such verbs could be called 'regular', although the forms of the other verbs also display considerable regularity. Normally there are 4 to 5 forms, as in:

\[-naah \quad -naad \quad -naal \quad -naah \quad = \text{to lick (1-class)}\]

and

\[-txiix \quad -txang \quad -txiil \quad -txih \quad = \text{to handle a long object (zero-class)}\]

Some verbs have different meanings depending on the class they are conjugated in. An example is:

\[-txix \quad (-txee) \quad -txing \quad (-txe') \quad -txeel \quad -txe' \quad = \text{to move a living being (1-class)}\]

\[-txix \quad (-txee) \quad -txing \quad (-txe') \quad -txeel \quad -txe' \quad = \text{a living being moves (zero-class)}\]

Examples of use are:

* mô'itxtix = you pick him up, from
  ni-'i--n-i-txix = upwards - him - present - you - 1-class - move a person (present stem), with loss of second-person -n- before the 1-classifier.

* nisixtxéén = you have picked him up, from
  ni--si-n-i-txeen = upwards - him - lasting aspect - you - 1-class - move a person (past heavy stem), again with loss of second-person -n- before the 1-classifier.

* nidyxtxéél = I am going to pick him up, from
  ni--dîy-c-l-txeel = upwards - him - future - I - 1-class - move a person (future stem), with loss of l-classifier after first-person -c-.

* niyo̱txe' = let him pick him up, from
  ni-yi-oo--l-txe' = upwards - him - permission - he - 1-class - move a person (optative stem), with contraction of third-person subject -yi and the permission prefix -oo- to -yô-.

* neesixtxéén = I am lying down, from
  nee-si-ii--txéén = terminative mode - lasting aspect - I - zero-class - move (past heavy stem).

An example of a verb of the 1-class is

\[-da' \quad -'éés \quad -daal \quad -da' \quad = \text{to jump, run (1-class)}\]

which uses suppletion for the past stem. This verb yields for example dîlda' = you run away from

\[di-n-l-da' = \text{inceptive (starting) mode - you - 1-class - run (present stem), with loss of second-person -n- before the 1-classifier.}\]

An example of a di-class verb is

\[-dìt \quad -dee'l \quad -di \quad -dìt \quad = \text{to fly, plural subject (di-class)}\]

which yields for example naasdidéé'l = they flew around from

\[nåa-s-di-dee'l = \text{continuous movement - lasting aspect - di-class - fly (past stem)}\]

Possibly a suffix Suffixes can be used to define the ‘setting’ of the verb form: emphasis can be lent by adding the suffix -ha' and negative emphasis by -ihih. A verb form is marked as indirect speech (quoting) by adding -laah/-laan.
Negation is expressed by putting doo = not/no in front of the verb.

A verb form cannot start with the stem, the classifier or even the subject prefix; if no other prefix is required, the verb form starts with the dummy prefix ‘i-’.

Although there is a permission prefix (-oo-), there is no imperative prefix. Commands are given by using a second person statement. A combined example is ‘intsi’ = pound it! from

-‘i-n--tsi’ = inanimate object - dummy prefix - you - zero-class - present stem,

from the verb

-ts’i’   -tsid   -tsil   -tsi’   = to pound (zero-class)

That it is the present stem that is used rather than the optative stem is shown by nıntsaa = sit down! from

ni-n--tsaa = instantaneous aspect - you - zero-class - present stem,

from the verb

-tsaa   -daa   -tsaal   -tsa’   = to sit down (zero-class),

again with suppletion in the past stem.

5 Nouns

Like the verb stem, the noun stem is preceded by prefixes and possibly followed by suffixes. There is no difference between definite and indefinite, i.e., there is nothing corresponding to the English the and a.

A prefix The prefix to the noun describes its possessor:

citcxoxó = my grandmother

from
ci-tcxoo = my - grandmother.

See the table of pronouns above. The prefix ci- = my has a different form when used for addressing a person:

‘icxoxó = grandmother!

from

’ic-tcxoo = oh-my - grandmother.

The noun stem Most nouns are composite, the single noun forms being reserved for kinship terms, body parts, etc, as explained above. Many single nouns are ‘inalienable’, that is, they cannot occur without an owner prefix: there is no stand-alone word for grandmother and -tcxoo can only be translated as ‘somebody’s grandmother’.

Possibly some suffixes Many of these noun suffixes (about 14 of them) play the same role as the prepositions in the Germanic and Romance languages; unlike the latter they attach mainly to personal pronouns:

ci-bi’ = in me, ninaa = for you, bił = with it / therefore

from
ci-bi’ = my - in, ni-naa = you - for, bił = its - with
etc.

This suffixing of prepositions is actually not unusual in Dutch or German: Dutch de tuin in or German den Garten hinein = into the garden, literally ‘the garden into’.

For nouns other than pronouns, the suffix is usually attached to the third-person possessive pronoun:

kxa’ bayéh = under the roots

from

kxa’ bi-ayéh = root = his-some suffix.

Other noun suffixes modify the size of the object: the diminutive suffix -idjeh is comparable to the suffix -chen in German or the suffix -je/-tje in Dutch. Unlike these languages, Mattole also has an augmentative suffix, -tco/-tco- , describing larger than usual size; this suffix is comparable to the Italian suffix -one (Pepe → Peppone).

3This suggests that the subject prefix, the classifier, and the verb stem together form a unit in the language.
The difference between singular and plural is not expressed in the noun, though for the subject it may be indicated by using ya- in the verb. Also, action by a group is sometimes perceived as conceptually different from action by a single person, and is therefore designated by a different verb:

- **yaax** = to go (one person, alone),

versus

- **diit** = to go (several persons, in a group).

Possession is expressed using the third-person possessive pronouns, as with the suffixes above:

'isítcxíng bi’djínde' = coyote his-carcass

= the carcass of the coyote

from


6 Syntax

The adjective, which we have seen is actually a verb form, follows the noun; a few real adjectives like **hai** = this, **sáhding** = other precede the noun.

The usual Mattole sentence patterns are subject-verb-object and subject-object-verb; if there is no explicit subject, object-verb is usual. Small adjuncts like **(nínáa = for you)** often precede or follow the verb.

Subject relative clauses – relative clauses in which the subject refers back – are generally expressed by an adjective-like verb form with the noun indicator **-gwo-**:

`gwo-nístí’e' naagwolíly = people that will come to be`

from **gwo-nístí’e’ = people** (in itself a verb form, meaning ‘those that are so’) and

`naa-gwo-ýí-ýí = all-the-time - noun indicator - progressive aspect - zero-class - become`

= those that will come to be.

Object relative clauses – relative clauses in which the object refers back – are constructed with a special form of the object pronoun, **‘aa-**:

`hai ‘aadílií = this is what we do`

from **hai = this** and

`‘aa-di-í-íín = which - we - 1-class - do.`

Subordinate clauses as such do not exist; the idea is expressed by having two main clauses connected by a conjunction comparable to the English **and**. Examples are:

`biñ = then`

from **bi-ñ = its - with;** and

`biyaa = therefore`

from **bi-ýaa = its - because of.**

A sentence like ‘We did not see him because he had already left’ would be rendered as ‘He had already left; therefore (= bi-ýaa) we did not see him’, a construction closely parallel to the Latin **cogito, ergo sum**.

Indirect speech is handled by the quotative suffixes **-laah/-laan** which follow the verb in the quoted part.
7 Numerals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Numbers</th>
<th></th>
<th>Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>láiha’</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>ти’sgwóд</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>nakxéh</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>djiht’syéd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>daak’ēh</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>....</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>dint’syéh</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>nisiyáán</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>djikxóóla’</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>nisiyáán bik’eláiha’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>gwostxáán</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>nisiyáán bik’enakxéh</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A form like 11 is constructed as follows:

nisiyáán bi-k’e-láiha’ = ten of it - after - one,
i.e., ten and thereafter one.

8 A short comparison of Navaho and Mattole

Navaho seems to have more of everything: more verbs stem forms (N. 5 against M. 4), more differences between light and heavy forms (N. 3 to 4 against M. 2), more assimilation rules, etc., but this may just reflect our limited knowledge of Mattole. Navaho has two tones, a high tone indicated by an acute accent (ší = I, cf. M. ci) and a low tone indicated by a grave accent (nì = you, cf. M. nì), with each and every syllable carrying a tone; Mattole has no tones.

Simple verbs forms are often almost equal:

N. dibáh = he starts off on a raid,

versus

M. dibaah = he goes to war,

or

N. yi’ååį = he chews it

versus

M. yi’äti = he chews it;

and even longer forms are often quite similar:

N. niníshtéeh = I put you down

versus

M. neenictxix = I put you down

from

nee-ni--c-l-txix = down - you - present - I - l-class - move a person,

or, with an additional prefix in Mattole:

N. ’ádishdée’h = I clean myself,

versus

M. gwona’adicdeh = I wash myself

from

gwona-’adi--c-l-deh = some prefix - self - present - I - reflexive - wash.

The numerals, however, correspond only for 1, 2, 3, 4 and 10; the others differ completely.

The pronounced division of consonants in those usable in prefixes, as the initial consonant in the stem and as the final consonant of it, is typical of Mattole, although the principle is present in Navaho too, to a much lesser extent.

9 References
